FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUL 31 2006

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PABLO ANGEL MARTIN CAMPO-DIAZ; GLORIA CEDILLO-OLIVAREZ,*

Petitioners,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 05-73367

Agency Nos. A93-214-685 A76-610-957

MEMORANDUM**

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 24, 2006***

Before: ALARCÓN, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

^{*} The Clerk is directed to correct the docket to reflect that Cedillo-Olivarez is also a petitioner.

This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Pablo Angel Martin Campo-Diaz and Gloria Cedillo-Olivarez, husband and wife and natives and citizens of Mexico, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying their applications for cancellation of removal. We dismiss the petition for review.

Petitioners' contention that the IJ violated their due process rights by disregarding and misconstruing their evidence concerning Cedillo-Olivarez's Type 1 diabetes is not supported by the record and does not amount to a colorable constitutional claim. *See Martinez-Rosas v. Gonzales*, 424 F.3d 926, 930 (9th Cir. 2005) ("[t]raditional abuse of discretion challenges recast as alleged due process violations do not constitute colorable constitutional claims that would invoke our jurisdiction.").

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.